Section	Form subsection	Site Nam	ıe	Question #	Due Date	Status	
On-Site Assessment Tool	Food Safety, Storage and Buy American			1403	04/29/2019	CAP Accepted	
	CAP Accepted Amy Martin 05/15/2019 11:21 AM		CAP Accepted				
Corrective Action History	CAP Submitted STEVE FEDOR 04/15/2019 08:07 AM		I contacted my US foods rep on 4/11/19 with regards to the issue listed. She provided me with the attached letter. I will make a point of looking carefully at the listed products when ordering, as to not pick anything outside US.				
	CAP Rejected Amy Martin 04/10/2019 10:32 AM		Please document the date that US Foods was contacted.				
			In addition, prior to accepting foods, you must ensure that an alternative domestic product is delivered or an exception to purchase non-domestic foods (cost analysis vs limited/no available product in the US) is documented and on hand.				
	CAP Submitted STEVE FEDOR 04/10/2019 09:32 AM		I notified the US Foods rep as to the issue with canned foods from outside the United States. The initial request is placed with that intention, but the supply and demand of a specific product has left supplier short of required product, thus filling with any available product. Our purchasing department has also been notified of this product.				
	Flagged Amy Martin 03/29/2019 10:18 AM		8 Exemption documentation was not available for foods that did not meet the Buy American provision. One product, the Ambrosia Diced Peaches, were from China.				
			Explain, in detail, how the findin ensure that it will not reoccur in implementation.			taken to	

Section	Form subsection	Site Nam	ıe	Question #	Due Date	Status	
Off-Site Assessment Tool	Local School Wellness			1000	04/29/2019	CAP Accepted	
	CAP Accepted Amy Martin 04 10:26 AM	/10/2019	CAP Accepted				
			The current policy was approved in June 2013. On April 3, a committee met to assess this policy. We completed the Wellness Policy Assessment tool on the current policy then reviewed a new proposed policy developed from Strauss Esmay to provide feedback to the Superintendent and Board of Education to provide more specificity to the Haddonfield School District's needs. The Board will begin the review/approval process for implementation next year over the next two months.				
Corrective Action History	Flagged Amy Martin 03/29/2 AM	03/29/2019 10:17 The SFA's current Local Wellness Policy (LWP) is from 2013 and includes all regu The SFA must revise the LWP to meet the all updated requirements set forth in rule published on July 29, 2016 (http://www.fns.usda.gov/tn/local-school-wellne policy). The LWP must be tailored to the unique needs of the LEA and provides opportunity to improve the health of each student. At a minimum, a triennial assessment of the policy must be conducted and results made available. Explain in detail, steps taken to update the LWP and what is planned to the guidelines? Indicate the dates of implementation.				th in the final vellness- vides an ial	
On-Site Assessment Tool	Verification			214	04/29/2019	CAP Accepted	
	CAP Accepted Amy Martin 04 10:25 AM	/10/2019	CAP Accepted		1	<u> </u>	
	CAP Submitted STEPHEN BURNS 04/03/2019 08:58 AM		Effective April 1, 2019, our letters have been changed to provide the appropriate 10 calendar days' written notice.				
Corrective Action History	Flagged Amy Martin 03/29/2019 10:18 AM		B Households for whom benefits were to be reduced or terminated, due to verification, must be given 10 calendar days written advance notice of the change.				
			Explain, in detail, how the findin ensure that it will not reoccur in implementation			es taken to	
On-Site Assessment Tool - Site	Meal Components and Quantities - Review Period	CENTRAL		409	04/29/2019	CAP Accepted	

Section	Form subsection	Site Nam	16	Question #	Due Date	Status
	CAP Accepted Amy Martin 04 10:24 AM	/10/2019	CAP Accepted			
	04/10/2019 09:25 AM		I have reviewed the production records, referenced, and have corrected the proper fruit and vegetables allocations for daily and weekly consumption. I have also retrained my staff with regards to proper production sheet completion, on 4/4/19.			
Corrective Action History	AM		Per the production records, 28 meals claimed for reimbursement at lunch during the review week did not contain the required fruit or vegetable component. Monday: 95 meals claimed, but only 73 fruits/vegetables served per production records. Wednesday: 110 meals claimed, but only 104 fruits/vegetables served per production records.			
			the pizza only providing 1/4 cup of Explain in detail, how the findin ensure that it will not reoccur in implementation.	g was corrected and th	e measures t	aken to
On-Site Assessment Tool - Site	Meal Components and Quantities - Review Period	CENTRAL		410	04/29/2019	CAP Accepted

Section	Form subsection	Site Nam	ne	Question #	Due Date	Status	
	CAP Accepted Amy Martin 04 10:24 AM	/10/2019	CAP Accepted				
			All production sheets have the specific usage of fruits and vegetables daily, the vegetable bags and fruit are noted specifically on the production sheet, in addition to hummus and baked beans being offered weekly. This was complete on 3/26/19.				
			I have also forwarded Pam, the req	uired paperwork from Pa	ssariellos		
Corrective Action History	Flagged Amy Martin 03/29/20 AM	019 10:17	At lunch, portion sizes planned for ominimum requirements for each ap to the Lunch Meal Pattern Charts, a site for specific component and mir did not list the specific vegetables of when reviewing the Production recor- were lacking in the weekly requiren 1/2 cup "red/orange" veg, 1/2 cup subgroups for the week According is offered daily, however the produc- to ensure that the required amount (i.e. day of review there was only h as part of the "veggie bag." It shop planned each day per the production all students as it was the only offer In addition, on March 8th, 2019, a Statement (PFS) for the pizza was	propriate grade group. We wailable on the Department offered daily as part of the offered daily as part of the offered daily as part of the offered daily as part of the sens/peas/legumes", a to the menu, the "veggie ction record must list the sens/peas/legumes are met of the offered daily of the day of the	Then planning r ent of Agricultu ents. The produ- e "veggie bag, the vegetable 5 grade groupi nd 1/4 cup "ot bag" with ass- daily offerings on a daily and d cucumbers v total veggie b mes were not etable subgrou	menus, refer re's Form web uction records " therefore e sub-groups ing was short her" veg orted veggies individually weekly basis vere available ags were available for p that week.	
			letterhead. The PFS stated the reci the regulation, the PFS must meet letterhead. Explain in detail, how these find ensure they will not reoccur in t	the required criteria, inclu lings were corrected a	uding on manu nd the measu	facturer's I <b>res taken to</b>	
On-Site Assessment Tool - Site	Meal Components and Quantities - Day of Review	CENTRAL		401	04/29/2019	CAP Accepted	

Section	Form subsection	Site Nam	ıe	Question #	Due Date	Status		
Corrective Action History	CAP Accepted Amy Martin 04/10/2019 10:24 AM		CAP Accepted					
	CAP Submitted STEVE FEDOR 04/10/2019 09:30 AM		The cashiers were retrained on 4/4/19 with regards to the required components of a reimbursable meal, and if not met to make sure the "non-reimbursable key" is entered. Administration has been asked to have an aid present by the register on both sides of the serving line.					
			Students must take the required number of components at lunch in order for their mea to be claimed for reimbursement. Since the SFA has offer vs. serve, students must select at least 3 food components in proper quantities. One item selected must be 1/2 cup fruit or vegetable. At lunch, on the day of review, two meals claimed for reimbursement did not contain the fruit or vegetable component. Food service staff/cashiers must receive training on how to accurately recognize a reimbursable mea under Offer vs. Serve.					
			Explain, in detail, how this findi that it will not reoccur in the fut					